

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/214,155	12/29/1998	HIROAKI TAKAYAMA	Q52816	5866
7590 04/20/2004 SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 200373202			EXAMINER	
			QAZI, SABIHA NAIM	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	

DATE MAILED: 04/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	09/214,155	TAKAYAMA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sabiha N. Qazi	1616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a rep within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/2/0	<u>4</u> .				
2a)☑ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 3 and 4 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 3 and 4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	epted or b) objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyand ion is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical priorical statements 	s have been received. s have been received in Ap rity documents have been r ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)	ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1616

Final Office Action

Acknowledgement is made of the response filed on 12/22/03. This application is a 371 of PCT/JP98/01979 filed on 4/30/98. Claims 3 and 4 are pending. No claim is allowed.

The application 09/959,541 has been filed under 35 USC 371 as a national stage application of PCT/ JP99/ 05778. JP 99/5778, published November 9, 2000, is applicant's own international publication. Double Patenting rejection was made for the same reasons. The rejection over 09/959,541 is withdrawn because the application is abandoned. Double Patenting rejection over 10/069481 is maintained for the same reasons as set forth in our previous office action.

Applicant arguments were fully considered but are not found persuasive therefore, rejection is maintained. The argument that "a minimum, the examiner is respectfully requested to hold this provisional obviousness –type double patenting rejection in abeyance until a decision on non-patentability is made in the cited application", is not justified.

Presently claimed invention is drawn to 2-substituted vitamin D₃ compounds, their composition and method of use.

Declarations were fully considered where applicants are explaining the date of the invention prior to the reference cited for the rejections. When claims will be considered allowable for other issues the application may be send to board of interference to make a final decision regarding priority of the presently claimed invention and the prior art DeLuca et al. (US 5,945,410) and (US 6,306,844). Claim 3 rejected stand 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeLuca et al. (US 5,945,410) and (US 6,306,844). Both the references teach 2-methyl and 2-alkyl 19-nor 20(S) vitamin D3. See the entire documents especially fig. 1 and 2 and

Art Unit: 1616

examples1; lines 36-67, in col. 15 and lines 1-37 in col. 16 in '410; formula 1 and lines 1-19 and 45-67, col. 2; see also cols. 5, 6 and Table 1 in US '844.

Claim 4 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trost M. Barry et al. The reference teaches a palladium-catalyzed alkylative cyclization of enynes for the synthesis of vitamin D derivatives for the same reasons set forth in our previous office actions.

The instant claims differ from the reference by employing an analogous starting material which differs in having a methyl group at 4-position of the compound of formula III i.e. in instant claims 4-position is substituted by a methyl group whereas prior art teaches no substituents at this position.

The starting materials are analogous in that they are both are belong to enynes of formula III.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper time wise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 3 is provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousnesstype double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3, 7 and 8 of co pending Application No. 10/069481. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct

01144111501: 00/214,10

Art Unit: 1616

from each other because 2-position of vitamin D represents lower alkyl group and it is claimed in 10/069,481. In 10/069,481 vitamin D3 is 2-substituted alkyl. In all the above copending applications and presently claimed invention 2-substituted vitamin D3 compounds are claimed which are considered obvious over the other.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sabiha N. Qazi whose telephone number is (571) 272-0622. The examiner can normally be reached on any business day.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on (571) 272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1616

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sabiha N. Qazi Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1616

4/16/04